IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 15085 of 1995

Between:

1. K. Srinivas Rao, 30 years,

s/o. Satyam, r/o. Veunagatla, Chagallu Mandal,

West Godavari District.

2. P. Nageswara Rao, 42 years,

s/o. Subba Rao, r/o. Marteru, Penumantra Mandal,

West Godavari District.

3. K. Satyanarayana, 42 years,

s/o. Ramayya, r/o. Marteru, Penumantra Mandal,

West Godavari District.

4. P. Venkateswara Rao, 35 years,

s/o. Musalayya, r/o. Brahmanachervu,

Penumantra Mandal, West Godavari District.

5. V. Prabhakara Reddy, 25 years,

s/o. Rami Reddy, r/o. Illindraparru,

Penumantra Mandal, West Godavari District.

6. G. Kasi Reddy, 40 years,

s/o. Yella Reddy, r/o. Mallipudi,

Penumantra Mandal, West Godavari District.

7. K. Narayana Reddy, 40 years,

s/o. Papa Reddy, r/o. Penumantra, West Godavari Dist.

8. G. Krishna Reddy, 50 years,

s/o.Challa Reddy, r/o.Penumantra, West Godavari Dist.

9. M. Venkateswara Rao, 28 years,

s/o.Tatayya, r/o. Kovvuru, West Godavari District.

10. K. Seshagiri Rao, 42 years,

s/o. Musalayya, r/o. Nidadavole, West Godavri Dist.

… **PETITIONERS**

AND

1. The Gram Panchayat, Penumantra,

West Godavari District, represented

By its Person-in-charge.

2. The Superintendent of Police,

West Godavari District at Eluru.

3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,

Kovvuru, West Godavari District.

4. The Circle Inspector of Police,

Penugonda, West Godavari District.

5. The Station House Officer,

Penumantra, West Godavari District. … **RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, declaring the action of the respondents in trying to interfere with the exhibition of 16 M.M. films by the petitioners herein as illegal, null and void and for a consequential directing the respondents herein not to interfere with the exhibition of 16 M.M. films by the petitioners during festival and marriage occasions.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.N.SIVA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: G.P. for Panchayat Raj

Counsel for the Respondents 2 to 5: G.P. for Home

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the issue involved in this writ petition does not survive for adjudication and hence, the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the said submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners, the Writ Petition is dismissed as 'infructuous.'

No costs.

_____

D.S.R. VARMA, J

23rd September, 2004

lsn

To

1. The Person-in-Charge, Gram Panchayat, Penumantra,

West Godavari District,

2. The Superintendent of Police,

West Godavari District at Eluru.

3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,

Kovvuru, West Godavari District.

4. The Circle Inspector of Police,

Penugonda, West Godavari District.

5. The Station House Officer,

Penumantra, West Godavari District.

6.Two CCs each to the G.P. for Panchayat Raj and G.P. for Home,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

7.Two CD copies